

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/044,550	01/11/2002	Peter Dunlop	INN 0004 NA	4928
75	90 02/23/2005		EXAM	INER
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P.			SHAPIRO, JEFFÉRY A	
Suite 500	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Dayton Cer	ntre		AKTONII	PATER NOMBER
Dayton, OH 4	5402-2023		3653	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/22/2004	•

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	, -			
	Office Action Summany	10/044,550	DUNLOP ET AL.				
G	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TI MANUNO DATE SUL	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
·	,	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposi	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>29-65</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>29-65</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applica	tion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Inity documents have been receiven In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2) Not 3) Info	ent(s) sice of References Cited (PTO-892) sice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) seer No(s)/Mail Date 11/29/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/044,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 29, 30, 33-37, 43-45, 50-53 and 56-58, 60, 64 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatamachi et al (US 6,076,648). Hatamachi discloses a banknote processor with a banknote path (400) with curvature (see figure 5, for example), shutter/gate (71), reversible motor (50) which powers a bill conveyor, validation sensors (20 and 30) which either accept or reject notes, and if rejected, reverses feeds the rejected bill by reversing the conveyor, back through the bill inlet (11). Note that acceptable notes are reverse fed by the conveyor if said acceptable notes jam. Also note that shutter (71) can be construed as functionally equivalent to Applicant's pivotable flap/gate.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to have used Hatamachi's shutter in place of Applicant's flap, since they are functional equivalent to each other.

3. Claims 29-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swinton (US 5,136,144) in view of Gerlier (US 5,662,201).

Swinton discloses a banknote validator (see col. 2, lines 7-17), a banknote path

(38) (see figure 2 and col. 3, lines 20-23), a non-return gate in the banknote path (92), (see col. 4, lines 45-48), reversible banknote driving means (150 and 228) (see col. 3, lines 20-23, col. 5, lines 34-37 and col. 10, lines 30-31). Swinton further has a banknote characteristic sensing means (40) (see col. 2, lines 7-17), means to operate the banknote driving means in a first direction and a 2nd, reverse direction to the first direction to either reject or accept a banknote (see col. 10, lines 10-42), the non-return gate includes banknote guiding means arranged for guiding an acceptable note along a banknote accept path when the banknote driving means is reversed (see col. 10, lines 34-38, the non return gate comprises pivotally mounted flap means (see col. 4, lines 10-16, col. 10, lines 32-34 and figures 3 and 4.

Swinton does not expressly disclose, but Gerlier discloses a banknote validator with flap means pivoted into an open position by contact with a banknote passing in a banknote insertion direction along the banknote path (see Gerlier, col. 5, lines 28-32 and figure 2.)

Both Swinton and Gerlier are considered to be analogous art because they both concern banknote processing using validation sensors.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the biased flap means of Gerlier described previously in place of the flap means of Swinton.

The suggestion/motivation would have been to ensure that the gate closes after bills have passed said gate.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,550 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (703)308-3423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703)306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey A. Shapiro

Examiner Art Unit 3653

February 21, 2005

DONALD P. VECS.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CONTED 3600